UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

CALVIN J. ZAR, SR. PLAINTIFF

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:07cv133-LTS-RHW

STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, MICHAEL W. MEYERS, and JOHN DOES 1-10

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Plaintiff has filed a [43] motion for the Court to reconsider its [39] Order for Mediation. The principal ground for this relief is that there is a pending [9] Motion to Remand. On the logic of Plaintiff's counsel, it follows that because this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, then an order referring this cause of action to mediation is void. Of course, the Defendants who [1] removed the case to this Court would differ on this Court's ability to exercise jurisdiction. To fit it within a potentially applicable rule, Plaintiff's counsel even suggests that the [39] mediation order was entered by mistake or clerical error, and "[a]lternatively . . . state[s] for the record that if the Court compels mediation, the Plaintiff in no way consents to the jurisdiction of the Court."

Plaintiff's [43] motion is couched in terms that a determination on jurisdiction has already been made, or at least is a foregone conclusion. That is not the case, and while activity in a case may be stayed during the pendency of a motion to remand, the Court is well within its discretion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 to manage the conduct of the cause of action, including ordering mediation. There was no mistake or clerical error made here. There is no justification for reconsideration of [39]. Plaintiff's statement for the record is noted.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

Plaintiff's [43] Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's [39] Order for Mediation is **DENIED**;

All provisions of that [39] Order remain in full force and effect.

SO ORDERED this the 10th day of September, 2007.

s/ <u>L. T. Senter, Jr.</u> L. T. SENTER, JR. SENIOR JUDGE